

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio: Public Protection

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting

Restrictions - Brigantine Road, Warsash

Report of: Director of Planning and Regulation

Strategy/Policy:

Corporate Objective: A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose:

To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions in Brigantine Road and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary:

This report considers the reasons for proposing waiting restrictions in Brigantine Road.

Recommendation:

That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason:

To reduce the risk of obstructions to the public highway and to improve road safety.

Cost of Proposals:

The cost of the proposals will be met by Fareham Borough Council's Traffic Management budget.

Risk Assessment:

There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A: Scheme drawing



Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 12 January 2016

Subject:: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Brigantine

Road, Warsash

Briefing by: Director of Planning and Regulation

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

Background

1. Brigantine Road is a residential road leading off Dibles Road, the later linking Warsash Road with Fleet End Road.

- Near to its junction with Dibles Road there are brick walls on both sides of Brigantine Road, which limit the inter-visibility between these two roads. Parking regularly takes place in this first part of Brigantine Road which can be hazardous when vehicles are passing the parked vehicles at the same time that vehicles are entering from Dibles Road.
- 3. This can best be addressed by prohibiting parking along the first section of Brigantine Road from Dibles Road, and the proposal to do this is shown on the drawing at Appendix A.

Consultations

- 4. The Police, Ward and County Councillors have been consulted on this proposal and all have expressed their support.
- 5. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

- 6. The proposal was advertised in November 2015 and one response was received expressing concern that this would remove a valuable parking facility and risk displacing the parking to other unsuitable locations.
- 7. As response was sent to the objector to point out that this proposal is only for safety reasons in the vicinity of the junction, and would not apply to the whole length of the road.

Conclusion

